

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILIN | IG DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTOR | NEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------|-------|------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|
| 10/029,457 | 12/2 | 21/2001 | Xuyen Pham | • | LAM2P310 | 5483 |
| 25920 | 7590 | 01/23/2004 | EXAMINER | | | INER |
| MARTINE | | • | | ELEY, TIMOTHY V | | |
| 710 LAKEW SUITE 170 | | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | | |
| SUNNYVALE, CA 94085 | | | | | 3724 | |
| | | | | DATE N | MAILED: 01/23/200 | 4 6 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/029,457 | PHAM, XUYEN | | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | | |
| | Timothy V Eley | 3724 | | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be t y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froi , cause the application to become ABANDON | imely filed sys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 N | ovember 2003. | | | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This | action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E | | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| 6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> are subject to restriction and/or e | election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | ır. | | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce | epted or b) objected to by the | Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | | - | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Offic | e Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | | |
| 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau | s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receive | tion No | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the firs 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language pro | of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 st sentence of the specification of | (e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet. | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti- reference was included in the first sentence of the | c priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 12 | 0 and/or 121 since a specific | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) D Notice of Informal | y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/029,457

, Art Unit: 3724

م المسالة التو

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 15-20,23, and 24, drawn to a method for supplying air to an underside of a polishing belt, classified in class 451, subclass 59.
 - II. Claims 1-14,21, and 22, drawn to a platen, classified in class 451, subclass 442.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either:
- (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as one that uses a circular polishing pad instead of a polishing belt.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Application/Control Number: 10/029,457

, Art Unit: 3724

- a. Species I: figure 4.
- b. Species II: figure 5.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1,9,15, and 21-24 appear to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/029,457

, Art Unit: 3724

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy V Eley whose telephone number is 703-308-1824. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Timothy V Eley

Page 4

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3724

tve